



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,511	09/30/1999	DARRELL SHIVELY	CISCO-1372	6966
49715	7590 10/19/2005	•	EXAMINER	
THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
CISCO P.O. BOX 64	0640	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640			2142	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/410,5	09/410,511 SHIVELY ET AL.					
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Douglas	B. Blair	2142				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appears on th	ne cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	idress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and v l, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this capandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>14 July 2005</i>						
2a)□		This action is ⊓	non-final	•				
3)								
٠,ڪ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	• .	,				
_	☑ Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
بحصر.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are rejected.		_					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminer						
	,		n)□ objected to	hy the Exeminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		*				
	•	foreign priority ur	ndor 25 11 5 C	8 110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
u)		cuments have be	en received	•				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified cop				Stage			
	application from the International							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	` ''	t received.				
Attachmen	t(e)		_	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	•	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			
. фе			7/LJ 34181	 •				

Application/Control Number: 09/410,511

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 19-28 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,877,036 to Smith et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,259,705 to Takahashi et al..
- 4. As to claim 27, Smith teaches a program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method for a max sessions server of a data communications network to keep a count of sessions used at a given time by a group of users, said method comprising: assigning a unique identification value to each port of a network access server of the data communications network (col. 6, lines 14-51); maintaining a master list having plurality of entries, each entry containing at least a UIV for a port associated with a logged in user and a group identification for the logged in user (col. 6, lines 14-51); however Smith does not explicitly teach periodically check a network access server to determine if it has become non-operational; and responding to the non-operational status of a network access server by removing all entries for ports associated with the non-operational server and decrementing a count of ht session used by the number of removed entries.

Application/Control Number: 09/410,511

Art Unit: 2142

Takahashi teaches a method of periodically check a network access server to determine if it has become non-operational; and responding to the non-operational status of a network access server by removing all entries for ports associated with the non-operational server and decrementing a count of ht session used by the number of removed entries (col. 5, line 37-col. 6, line 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Smith regarding a server for keeping track of sessions with the teachings of Takahashi regarding monitoring access servers because monitoring the status of servers allows changes to be accommodated instantly (Takahashi, col. 2, lines 38-47).

- 1. As to claim 19, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 27 because the method of claim 19 is identical to the method claimed in claim 27.
- 2. As to claim 20, Takahashi teaches a method wherein periodically checking is performed by an authentication, authorization and accounting server associated with a maximum session server (col. 5, line 37-col. 6, line 39).
- 3. As to claim 21, Takahashi teaches a method comprising transmitting a communication to another maximum sessions server on the data communications network to inform it of the non-operational status of a network access server (col. 5, line 37-col. 6, line 39).
- 4. As to claim 22, Takahashi teaches a method comprising receiving a communication from another maximum sessions server (col. 5, line 37-col. 6, line 39).
- 5. As to claims 23-26, they have similar limitations to claims 19-22 respectively and are thus rejected on the same basis as claims 19-22.

Art Unit: 2142

6. As to claim 28, it is rejected on the same basis as claim 27 because claim 27 has narrower limitations than claim 28 (Sessions by the user can be considered a resource.).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number-is 703-305-3800.

Douglas Blair October 17, 2005.

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER